

University of Hawaii Pediatric Residency Program
Conference Evaluations

MB

Date: Wednesday, December 10, 2014

Presenter Name:	Dr. Jennifer Di Rocco					
Topic:	Board Review					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					X	
The material was presented in a concise manner					X	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					X	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					X	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					X	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					X	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved)						
<input checked="" type="radio"/> a. I really learned a lot <input checked="" type="radio"/> b. This was a high-yield talk <input type="radio"/> c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Joshua Almanza & Angela Smerz					
Topic:	CHAPLAIN					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					X	
The material was presented in a concise manner					X	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					X	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					X	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					X	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					X	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved)						
<input checked="" type="radio"/> a. I really learned a lot <input checked="" type="radio"/> b. This was a high-yield talk <input type="radio"/> c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Donna Deng & Tristan Knight					
Topic:	M&M Conference					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					X	
The material was presented in a concise manner					X	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					X	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					X	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					X	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					X	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved)						
<input checked="" type="radio"/> a. I really learned a lot <input checked="" type="radio"/> b. This was a high-yield talk <input type="radio"/> c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Dr. Mae Kyono					
Topic:	Hospitalists: Pneumonia					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					X	
The material was presented in a concise manner					X	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					X	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					X	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					X	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					X	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved)						
<input checked="" type="radio"/> a. I really learned a lot <input checked="" type="radio"/> b. This was a high-yield talk <input type="radio"/> c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

University of Hawaii Pediatric Residency Program
Conference Evaluations

Date: Wednesday, December 10, 2014

Presenter Name:	Dr. Jennifer Di Rocco					
Topic:	Board Review					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					↓	
The material was presented in a concise manner					↓	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					↓	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					↓	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					↓	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					↓	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Joshua Almanza & Angela Smerz					
Topic:	CHAPLAIN					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					↓	
The material was presented in a concise manner					↓	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					↓	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					↓	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					↓	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					↓	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Donna Deng & Tristan Knight					
Topic:	M&M Conference					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					↓	
The material was presented in a concise manner					↓	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					↓	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					↓	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					↓	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					↓	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Dr. Mae Kyono					
Topic:	Hospitalists: Pneumonia					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					↓	
The material was presented in a concise manner					↓	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					↓	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					↓	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					↓	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					↓	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

University of Hawaii Pediatric Residency Program
Conference Evaluations

Date: Wednesday, December 10, 2014

Presenter Name:	Dr. Jennifer Di Rocco					
Topic:	Board Review					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					✓	
The material was presented in a concise manner					✓	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					✓	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					✓	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					✓	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					✓	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Joshua Almanza & Angela Smerz					
Topic:	CHAPLAIN					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training				✓		
The material was presented in a concise manner				✓		
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.				✓		
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.				✓		
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference				✓		
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met				✓		
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Donna Deng & Tristan Knight					
Topic:	M&M Conference					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					✓	
The material was presented in a concise manner					✓	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					✓	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					✓	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					✓	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					✓	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						

Presenter Name:	Dr. Mae Kyono					
Topic:	Hospitalists: Pneumonia					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The information presented was relevant to my training					✓	
The material was presented in a concise manner					✓	
The presentation was clear and easy to understand.					✓	
Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation.					✓	
The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference					✓	
Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met					✓	
Comments (please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved) a. I really learned a lot b. This was a high-yield talk c. This talk could have been improved by (Please write constructive feedback below):						