Hawan Residency Programs, Inc.

Di Rocco, Jennifer

7/1/2012 to 6/30/2013 Was evaluated 18 times on the following rotations: PEDI:WARD-KAP; *Report about People who were evaluated

.

. .

Questionnain Name/Title	9	Category/Question	Scale	Faculty/Precepto Average		Minimum	Maximum	Standard Deviation
Resident Eval of aculty Attending 2012-13 University of Hawaii				1				
Pediatric Residency Program Resident Evaluation of Faculty Attending 2012-13	1	How much time spent with this faculty/attending (in hours or days) is this evaluation based on?	1-4	3.14	3.39	3.00	4.00	0.50
	2	Best aspects of this faculty/attending's performance:	Commen	it.				
	3	Specific suggestions for improvement:	Commen	t and from the sector of				
	4	Created a safe and effective learning climate and prioritized teaching (P, PBLI)	1-5	1.37	1.11	1.00	3.00	0.47
	5	Demonstrated an evidence- based approach to medical decision making (MK, PC, SBP)	1-5	1.44	1.17	1.00	3.00	0.51
	6	Was easily approachable for guidance/questions/feedback and made an effort to	1-5	1.60	1.39	1.00 :	5.00	1.04
	0	and made an enore to						

	Provided frequent constructive, specific, and timely feedback of my wo performance and clinical decision making; also, ma sure I understood the feedback (C, P, PBLI)	rk ₁₋₅	1.86	1.61	1.00	5.00	1.33
	Modeled effective 8 communication with patie families, and health care members (C, P)	nts, 1-5	1.42	1.17	1.00	3.00	0.51
	 Treated me with care, respect, and consideration P) 	(C, ¹⁻⁵	1.29	1.17	1.00	3.00	0.51
	Maintained an appropriate 10 balance between appropri level of supervision and autonomy (C, P, PC, SBP)	ate 1-5	1.49	1.17	1.00	3.00	0.51
	Demonstrated professiona attitudes and behaviors, at is a role-model for me as a pediatrician (C, P, PC, SBP PBLI)	nd 1-5	1.34	1.17	1.00	3.00	0.51
	Demonstrated positive 12 attitude to my learning and growth as a pediatrician (P C, SBI, PBLI)		1.35	1.17	1.00	3.00	0.51
	¹³ Overall effectiveness of faculty/attending:	1-4	1.47	1.33	1.00	3.00	0.59
valuator	Question Comments 1) One night on-call 1) Only did admissions and nights 1) I worked on 1 overnight shift with Dr 1) Day float 2) She asks our opinions at first. It is so 2) Providing autonomy to make decision 2) Allowing autonomy of care 2) She tries for residents to make all decision 2) Great clinical knowledge year need to	much impor s about pati isions.	tant. Knowi ents	egable.	elelante		

2) Great Clinical knowledge, very good teaching qualities, interacts well with residents

2) treated residents with respect and integrity. Made good atmosphere for interns to join team member.

2) thorough, gives timely feedback in a non-threatening way, very knowledgeable, likes to teach 2) Loves teaching, enthusiastic

2) Good teacher, encourages autonomy and independent thinking and learning

2) She is willing to teach and help aid in facilitating management and care of patients, new admits and already admitted patients.

2) Very respectful, flexible and kind.

2) Friendly, approachable, and teaches

2) likes teaching. smart. helps residents.

2) Great clinical knowledge, very good teaching qualities, interacts well with residents

2) Exceptionally pro-residents. I feel safe and taken care of with her. She treats us with respect and she is also an excellent physician.

2) She is incredibly nice and makes it easy to ask question. She works with the residents as members of the same team, making the work less scary and making it easier to approach her. She is also good with technology which makes her closer to many of the residents, as someone who feels more like one of our generation.

2) Allowing the resident team to make medical decisions but being in the background for support 2) offers teaching points and willing to help with work load

3) none

3) None

3) None

3) Update residents after she changed the orders by herself or other subspecialties changes their plans such as going to OR, etc.

3) Nothing noticed

3) none

3) n/a

3)-3) None

3) N/A, I think Dr. DiRocco is very good at allowing autonomy of patient care while being an attending that teaches and allows for a positive learning environment.

3) none

3) None

3) none 3) None

3) None

3) None 3) None

3) none

6) I think this is what I most enjoy about Dr. DiRocco.

7) It was only one night and there wasn't time that allowed for this.

12) Thank you for doing this. I really enjoy working with you.

13) I worked only twice, but I learned a lot.

13) Welcome to Hawaii ! Fun to work with her.

13) Great attending and role model.

13) Now I really understand why she was chosen as a best attending in the previous hospital. I was trying to learn those good aspects of her as an attending when I worked with her so that I would also

be able to use them in the future. I was happy to have a chance to work with such a very good American doctor in the US.

13) Great clinical knowledge, very good teaching qualities, interacts well with residents

Noter: A blank Standard Devetion indicates that there is either no deviation (only one score) or that the statistic does not apply to the

Export to Excel

New Innovations, Inc. @1995-2013

ations RMS Evaluations	https://www.new-innov.com/EvaluationReports/EVALRPT_ReportsHost				New Innovations RMS Evaluations		https://www.new-innov.com/EvaluationReports/EVALRPT_ReportsHo					
Hawaii Residency Programs, Inc.		Pediat	trics Rachel Oyama	Help	(MK, PC, SBP)							
Di Rocco, Jennifer					Was easily approachable for							
//1/2013 to 6/30/2014 Was evaluated 16 times on the following Report about People who were evaluated Di Rocco, Jennifer	ig rotations: PEDI.WARD-KAP;				guidance/questions 6 /feedback and made an effort to schedule or provide feedback opportunities (C, P)		1.80	1.31	1.00	2.00	0.48	
Questionnaire Jame/Title Category/Question Resident Eval	Faculty/Preceptor Scale Average	Average M	tinimum Maxim	Standard am Deviation	Provided frequent constructive, specific, and							
of Faculty Attending 2012-13 Jiniversity of Hawaii Pediatric Residency ¹ How much time spent with	1-4 329	3.19 1.	.00 4.00	1.05	⁷ performance and clinical decision making; also, made sure I understood the feedback (C, P, PBLI)	1-5	2.01	1.38	1.00	3.00	0.62	
rogram this faculty/attending (in esident hours or days) is this valuation of evaluation based on? aculty attending 012-13					Modeled effective 8 communication with patients, families, and health care members (C, P)	1-5 1	1.43	1.25	1.00	2.00	0.45	
2	Comment				⁹ Treated me with care, ⁹ respect, and consideration (C, P)	1-5	1.22	1.06	1.00	2.00	0.25	
Best aspects of this faculty/attending's performance:					Maintained an appropriate balance between ¹⁰ appropriate level of supervision and autonomy (C, P, PC, SBP)	1-5	1.57	1.56	1.00	5.00	1.09	
3 Specific suggestions for	Comment				(0,1,10,021)							
improvement: Created a safe and effective			1.00 2.00	0.40	Demonstrated professional attitudes and behaviors, and ¹¹ is a role-model for me as a pediatrician (C, P, PC, SBP,		1.32	1.19	1.00	2.00	0.40	
⁴ learning climate and prioritized teaching (P, PBLI)	1-5 1.32	1.19 1	2.00 2.00	5.40	РВЦ)							
Demonstrated an 5 evidence-based approach to medical decision making	1-5 1.61	1.50	1.00 3.00	0.63	Demonstrated positive 12 attitude to my learning and growth as a pediatrician (P,		1.34	1.25	1.00	2.00	0.45	

5/9/2014 3:58 PM 2 of 4

5/9/2014 3:58 F

allowed a second

of 4

2				
w Innovations RM	S Evaluations	https://www.new-innov.com/EvaluationReports/EVALRPT_ReportsHost	New Innovations RMS Evaluations	https://www.new-innov.com/EvaluationReports/EVALRPT_ReportsHost
	C, SBI, PBLI) 13 Overall effectiveness of faculty/attending: Question Comments 1) Day float 1) Admitted some pts, worked with coverin 1) Presented patients when she was the adminights. 2) During wards and nights. 2) Explains clearly the diagnostic reasoning 2) Dr. Di Rocco was able to find time to tea rounds and keeps open lines of communica same page, and in the end allowing us to an 2) Dr DiRocco is a great teacher and takes of to all residents ideas. She is always using te 2) Great teacher; allowed for significant res 2) Dr. Di Rocco is knowledgeable, enthusian 2) Friendly, approachable, likes teaching re helpful constructive feedback. 2) Good teacher, patient, works well with re 2) Dr DiRocco was very approachable. Durin complete history and physical, if she had for 2) Allows for autonomy, let me be the team 2) She has a very friendly and outgoing determine the story and physical of the story of	1-4 1.69 1.44 1.00 2.00 0.51	 3) None that I can think of. It was 3) None 3) none 3) Give more frequent feedback 3) none 3) None 3) None 4) I felt that her teaching style is a learn what you can from her and the strength of the state of the strength of the state of the strength of the state of the strength of the strength	s very fun to work with her on the wards and on nights. one that puts that the learner at ease. There is a sense that she wants you to to fill in your knowledge gaps with self-study. are evidence based.
	feels very collegial. 2) Gave good amount of autonomy and su 2) Very knowledgeable, organized, thoroug 2) She is knowledgeable, enthusiastic, likes 2) nice personality. good teacher 3) None. 3) None 3) None 3) None 3) None 3) None 3) None 3) None 3) None	h, friendly, makes time for feedback/comments, teaching and does it well		
of 4		5/9/2014 3:58 PM	4 of 4	5/9/2014 3:58 P