Presenter	The information presented was relevant to my training	The material was presented in a consice manner	The presentation was clear and easy to understand	Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc) added to the presentation	The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference	Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met	**Student/Evaluator Average**	***Comments (Please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved. A.) I really learned a lot; B.)This was a high yield talk ; C.) This talk could have been improved; *Other suggestions (please specify). Additional comments provided by evaluator in italics***

N/C: No comments left

Academic Half Day: November 5, 2014

Dr. Jennifer Di Rocco	Board Review							
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***C) This talk could have been improved by more concise discussion of answers.***
	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	**#DIV/0!**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***B) Great, tough topic that I definitely needed to review!***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B) I enjoy all board review. Always generates good discussion.***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4**	***C) This talk could have been improved by more thorough.***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4.0**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***B) Great.***
Presenter Average	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**		

Presenter	The information presented was relevant to my training	The material was presented in a consice manner	The presentation was clear and easy to understand	Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc) added to the presentation	The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference	Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met	**Student/Evaluator Average**	***Comments (Please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved. A.) I really learned a lot; B.)This was a high yield talk ; C.) This talk could have been improved; *Other suggestions (please specify). Additional comments provided by evaluator in italics***

Academic Half Day: August 27, 2014

Dr. Jennifer Di Rocco	Board Review							
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B)***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***Love the practice for boards.***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***Surf's up!***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***B)***
Presenter Average	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**		

Presenter	The information presented was relevant to my training	The material was presented in a concise manner	The presentation was clear and easy to understand	Supplemental materials (PowerPoint, handouts, etc.) added to the presentation	The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference	Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met	**Student/Evaluator Average**	***Comments (Please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved. A.) I really learned a lot; B.)This was a high yield talk ; C.) This talk could have been improved; *Other suggestions (please specify). Additional comments provided by evaluator in italics***

Academic Half Day: October 8, 2014

Dr. Jennifer Di Rocco	Board Review							
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***It would be nice to start on time even if residents are late. This should be their loss, not the loss of the people already there.***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) Very helpful to be able to also discuss our answers in a group.***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) I'm glad we went over the answers.***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4**	***I would like to spend more time discussing each cases instead of running fast just to do more questions.***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B) Best way to spend the day.***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***Great!***
Presenter Average	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**	**4.9**		

Presenter	The information presented was relevant to my training	The material was presented in a consice manner	The presentation was clear and easy to understand	Supplemental materials (powerpoint, handouts, etc) added to the presentation	The speaker was able to elicit audience participation during the conference	Overall goals and objectives of the presentation were met	**Student/Evaluator Average**	***Comments (Please circle all that apply): *Please provide feedback (state why or what could be improved. A.) I really learned a lot; B.)This was a high yield talk ; C.) This talk could have been improved; *Other suggestions (please specify). Additional comments provided by evaluator in italics***

N/C: No comments left

Academic Half Day: November 5, 2014

Dr. Jennifer Di Rocco	Board Review							
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***C) This talk could have been improved by more concise discussion of answers.***
	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	**#DIV/0!**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***B) Great, tough topic that I definitely needed to review!***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B)***
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***A) & B) I enjoy all board review. Always generates good discussion.***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4**	***C) This talk could have been improved by more thorough.***
	4	4	4	4	4	4	**4.0**	
	5	5	5	5	5	5	**5**	***B) Great.***
Presenter Average	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**	**4.8**		